

E-FILED on 9/3/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES,  
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and  
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY  
and IGT,

Defendants.


No. C-06-03717 RMW

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE  
AS MOOT

[Re Docket No. 897]

On July 21, 2010, plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies, Australia Pty Limited, and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Aristocrat") served defendants International Game Technology and IGT (collectively "IGT") with their answer to IGT's first supplemental counterclaims. On August 6, 2010, IGT moved to strike the objections made in Aristocrat's answer. On August 16, 2010, Aristocrat filed an amended answer (that does not contain said objections) to IGT's first supplemental counterclaims pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1). In light of Aristocrat's amended answer, the motion to strike is now moot. The court therefore denies IGT's motion to strike without prejudice and vacates the hearing on the motion to strike.

DATED: 9/3/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RONALD M. WHYTE  
United States District Judge